

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/604,469	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KHAN, IFTIKHAR	
	<b>Examiner</b> THEODORE J. STIGELL	<b>Art Unit</b> 3763	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 12/16/2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

*The Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal section is still incorrect and so is the Arguments section. In the final rejection mailed on 5/5/2008, there were two separate rejections. The first rejection was claims 10, 13-14, and 16-17 rejected over Pell et al. (4,850,348) in view of Wood (GB 2,220,357). The second rejection (which is completely separate from the first) was claims 10, 13-14, and 16-17 rejected over Joseph (5,819,723) in view of Wood (GB 2,220,357). The Grounds of Rejection section should reflect that these are the two rejections being appealed. Then, in the Arguments section, the applicant must provide arguments against each rejection. In the latest appeal brief, the applicant has listed Pell ('348) in view of Joseph ('723) and seems to have provided arguments over this combination. The examiner notes that there is no such rejection made with this combination and therefore arguments should not be included. In summary, there are two rejections that are being appealed. The applicant must list the correct rejections in the Grounds of rejection section and then in the Arguments section provide arguments why each rejection is not correct.*

/Theodore J Stigell/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3763